



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



**DIRECTIONS FOR CLAIMING CME CREDITS:** Use this worksheet to track your attendance and viewing time (CME hours), evaluations, and comments for each presentation/session you attend/view during or after the AAPath 2024 conference days. After the AAPath Annual Meeting and through September 30, 2024, login to the online CME evaluation form linked at: [www.apcprods.org/APC2024-CME](http://www.apcprods.org/APC2024-CME) and use these tracker notes to fill out the online form, which must be submitted all at once starting on July 24<sup>th</sup>. **Submit responses online NO LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 30, 2024.** Certificates will be emailed within 4-6 weeks of submitting your claims from the AAPath Office. All other CME information and disclosures are posted at: [www.apcprods.org/APC2024-CME](http://www.apcprods.org/APC2024-CME). *Questions? Contact the AAPath Office at: [meetings@academicpathology.org](mailto:meetings@academicpathology.org).*

**Contact Information**

First Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Last Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Degree(s): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Institution: \_\_\_\_\_

**Select the most appropriate category to describe your role:**

- Physician (MD, DO, MD/PhD)
- Physician in Training (Resident/Fellow)
- Scientist (PhD)
- Administrative Staff
- Other (please specify)

Overall Meeting Evaluation	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
This Meeting met the stated objectives.						
I am satisfied with the overall quality of the Meeting.						
The amount of information covered was sufficient.						
Comments						

General	Yes	No	Unsure
I will modify my practice based on the information presented.			
If so, what changes?			
Was the content of the educational activity free of commercial bias?			
If no, identify the instance(s) of commercial bias.			
Were the disclosure statements communicated to you?			
Additional comments or suggestions related to topics, needs, or practice gaps for future annual meetings.			
Please list any other suggestions you have for improving the annual meeting.			



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments	
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent
<b>S1: Opening Welcome</b>	0.00															
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.														
		I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.														
		The method of delivering this information was appropriate.														
		The amount of information covered was sufficient.														
		The session assisted in closing practice gaps.														
		The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.														
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.														
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.														
									<b>S1:</b> The State of Academic Pathology in 2024: What Is Real, What Is Improbable, and What Is False? <i>Michael Laposata, MD, PhD (Univ of Texas Medical Branch, AAPath President)</i>							

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments	
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent
<b>C1: Chairs Boot Camp: The Evolving Identity of Pathology</b>	0.00															
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.														
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.														
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.														
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.														
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.														
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.														
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.														
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.														
									<b>C1.1:</b> The Evolving Identity of Pathology in Education, <i>Deborah Powell, MD (Univ of Minnesota)</i>							
									<b>C1.2:</b> Evolving Identity of Pathology in Clinical Service and Research, <i>Fred Sanfilippo, MD, PhD (Emory Univ)</i>							





**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>C4: GME Session: Competency Based Graduate Medical Education</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									<b>C4.1:</b> Competency Based Advancement in the Landscape of Graduate Medical Education, <i>John Co, MD, MBA (DIO, Mass General Brigham, and ACGME Board Member)</i>								
									<b>C4.2:</b> Competency Based Graduate Medical Education. <i>W. Stephen Black-Schaffer, MD, and Maria Martinez-Lage, MD (Mass General Brigham)</i>								
									Commentary by <i>Gary Procop, MD (ABPath)</i>								
									Commentary by <i>Cindy McCloskey, MD, (ACGME Review Committee and Univ of Oklahoma)</i>								

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>C5: Research Session: Innovating Research Infrastructure</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									<b>C5.1:</b> Infrastructure Needed to Support Pathology Artificial Intelligence Research, <i>Joel Saltz, MD, PhD (Stony Brook Univ)</i>								
									<b>C5.2:</b> Academic Research Collaborations Fostered by the Weill Neurohub, <i>Lea Grinberg, MD, PhD, Univ of California, San Francisco</i>								
									<b>C5.3:</b> AI-Assisted Directed Evolution of Immunogens, <i>Jimmy Gollihar, PhD (Houston Methodist Research Institute)</i>								





**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended (not recorded)	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments
									Panelists	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>DG: Discussion Group: Transitioning from the Chair: When, How, and What to Do Next</b>	0.00	This session met the stated objectives.													
	0.25	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.													
	0.50	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.													
	0.75	The amount of information covered was sufficient.													
	1.00	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.													
	1.25	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.													
	1.50	I had sufficient time to ask questions.													
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.													
								<i>David Bailey, MD, Chair, Senior Fellows Group (Univ of California, San Diego)</i> <i>James Crawford, MD, PhD (Hofstra Univ/Northwell Health)</i> <i>James Crawford, MD, PhD (Hofstra Univ/Northwell Health)</i> <i>Eileen Ryan, MD (Univ of Rochester)</i> <i>Fred Sanfilippo, MD, PhD (Emory Univ)</i>							

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments
									Presentations / Speakers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>P1: Recruitment, ERAS, and Signaling Tokens</b>	0.00	This session met the stated objectives.													
	0.25	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.													
	0.50	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.													
	0.75	The amount of information covered was sufficient.													
	1.00	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.													
	1.25	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.													
	1.50	I had sufficient time to ask questions.													
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.													
								<b>P1.1:</b> ERAS Update for AAPath, <i>Richard Peng (Association of American Medical Colleges)</i> <b>P1.2:</b> Navigating ERAS Updates: Data Overload or the Apex of Holistic Review? <i>Taisia Vitkovski, DO (Hofstra Univ/Northwell)</i> <b>P1.3:</b> Holistic Recruitment in the New Era(s), <i>Brandi McCleskey, MD (Univ of Alabama at Birmingham)</i> <b>P1.4:</b> Perspective from a Large Residency Program, <i>Nicole Aqai, MD (Univ of Pennsylvania)</i>							





# ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY

## AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>P4: Unionization</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>P4.1:</b> Designated Institutional Official, <i>Melissa Davidson, MD (Univ of Vermont)</i>								
									<b>P4.2:</b> Unionization in Graduate Medical Education: A Program Director's Perspective, <i>Tiffany Hebert, MD (Albert Einstein/Montefiore)</i>								
									<b>P4.3:</b> Unionization Through the Eyes of a Pathology Resident, <i>Eros Qama, MD (Albert Einstein/Montefiore)</i>								

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>A1: APEX Boot Camp, Part 1: Strengthen Your Executive Knowledge</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>A1.1:</b> Finance Tips: Dollars and Sense, <i>Kai Wang, MBA (Univ of California, San Diego)</i>								
									<b>A1.2:</b> Human Resource Evolution in Pathology: Centralization, Recruitment, and Beyond, <i>Melissa Stedman (Univ of Miami)</i>								
									<b>A1.3:</b> Bytes to Biopsies: Navigating the Pathology Information Technology Landscape, <i>Melissa Allen (Univ of Rochester)</i>								





**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments			
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good	Good
<b>A2: Pathologist Recruitments, Issues, and Efforts: The Department Administrator's Perspective</b>	0.00																	
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.																
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.																
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.																
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.																
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.																
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.																
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.																
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>A2.1: Melissa Allen (Univ of Rochester)</b>									
									<b>A2.2: Shanker Deonandan, MBA (Hofstra Univ/Northwell)</b>									
									<b>A2.3: Andrea Ligler, MBA (Indiana Univ)</b>									

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments			
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good	Good
<b>A3: From Investment to Insight: Shared Perspectives for a Digital Pathology Framework</b>	0.00																	
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.																
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.																
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.																
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.																
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.																
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.																
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.																
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>A3.1: Financial Considerations for Digital Pathology Systems, Carlos Garcia, MBA (Univ of California, Davis)</b>									
									<b>A3.2: Administrator Considerations for Digital Pathology Systems, Melissa Allen (Univ of Rochester)</b>									
									<b>A3.3: Operational Issues, Kelly McNeill (Univ of California, San Francisco)</b>									
									<b>A3.4: Zero to 6,000 Slides per Day: The Journey at MSKCC, Jeninne Wright, MBA (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center)</b>									



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>A4: APEX Boot Camp, Part 2: Strengthen Your Executive Knowledge</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									<b>A4.1:</b> Funds Flow Model Experiences, Panelists: - Damaris Battaglia, MBA (Yale Univ), - Gary Brown, MBA (Univ of Colorado) - Susan McCarthy (Univ of Southern California)								
									<b>A4.2:</b> Component Billing, Susan McCarthy (Univ of Southern California)								
									<b>A4.3:</b> Essentials of Research Administration, Joann Li, MPH (Columbia Univ)								

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>A5: Guidelines for Defining Clinical FTEs and wRVU Benchmarks</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									<b>A5.1:</b> Damaris Battaglia, MBA (Yale Univ)								
									<b>A5.2:</b> Kelly McNeill (Univ of California, San Francisco)								
									<b>A5.3:</b> Kai Wang, MBA (Univ of California, San Diego)								



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments	
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent
U1: Boot Camp / Essentials for New (and Experienced) Course Directors	0.00															
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.														
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.														
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.														
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.														
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.														
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.														
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.														
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									U1.1: Nuts and Bolts for the UME Pathology Course/Thread Director, Jennifer Zepf, DO (Hackensack Meridian)							
									U1.2: Nuts and Bolts of UME Pathology Career Advancement, Raga Ramachandran, MD, PhD (Univ of California, San Francisco)							

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments	
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent
U2: Integration of Pathology in the Pre-Clinical Curriculum: A Collective Deeper Dive	0.00															
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.														
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.														
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.														
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.														
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.														
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.														
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.														
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									U2.1: Ashley Inman, MD (Indiana Univ)							
									U2.2: Madelyn Lew, MD (Univ of Michigan)							



## ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>U3: Medical Education Research and Scholarship</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>U3.1: Do Not Fear Your Abduction! Exploring the Alien Culture of Medical Education Scholarship, Michael Ryan, MD (Univ of Virginia)</b>								

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>U4: Integration of Pathology in the Clinical / Clerkship Years</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>U4.1: The Pathology Acting Internship: Lifting Students to New Heights, Kristin Olson, MD (Univ of California, Davis)</b>								
									<b>U4.2: The Pathology Acting Internship: Lifting Students to New Heights, Kristin Olson, MD (Univ of California, Davis)</b>								
									<b>U4.3: Demystifying Pathology - a Clinical Immersion Experience for Clerkship-level Medical Students at UCSF, Marta Margeta, MD, PhD (Univ of California, San Francisco)</b>								



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments	
									Presentations / Speakers		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair		Poor
<b>S3: Workshop: Mapping Your Early-/ Mid-Career in Education</b>	0.00															
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.														
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.														
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.														
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.														
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.														
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.														
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.														
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									<b>S3.1: Career progress as a Medical Educator: What Needs to Happen?</b> <i>James Crawford, MD, PhD (Hofstra Univ/Northwell Health)</i>							
									<b>S3.2: Managing a Pathology Career in Graduate Medical Education,</b> <i>W. Stephen Black-Schaffer, MD (Mass General Brigham)</i>							
									<b>S3.3: The Undergraduate Medical Education Perspective,</b> <i>Andrea Deyrup, MD, PhD (Duke Univ)</i>							
									<b>S3.4: Chair Perspective: Fostering Faculty Success in Education,</b> <i>Dani Zander, MD (Univ of Cincinnati)</i>							

Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments	
									Presentations / Speakers		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair		Poor
<b>G1: Wellness</b>	0.00															
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.														
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.														
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.														
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.														
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.														
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.														
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.														
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.															
									<b>G1.1: Adaptive Leadership and Managing Change,</b> <i>Amy Bourgeois (Univ of Vermont)</i>							
									<b>G1.2: Wellness Activities</b>							



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Presentations / Speakers							Excellent	Very Good
<b>G2: User Groups on Resident Management Systems</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>G2.1</b> New Innovations: New Users: <i>Amy Bourgeois (Univ of Vermont)</i>								
									<b>G2.2</b> New Innovations Experienced Users: <i>Beth Smith (Penn State Health Hershey Medical Center)</i>								
									<b>G2.3</b> Med Hub: Welcome to the Community: Navigating MedHub for New Users: <i>Heidi Martinez (Univ of California, Los Angeles)</i>								
									<b>G2.4:</b> Med Hub: Experienced Users: <i>Leslie Antinarella (Univ of Rochester)</i>								

Code / Session	# of hours attended (not recorded)	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments		
									Co-Moderators							Excellent	Very Good
<b>G3: Dealing with Difficult Leaders</b>	0.00																
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.															
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.															
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.															
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.															
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.															
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.															
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.															
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.																
									<b>G3.1:</b> <i>Alexandra Murtha, Chair, GMEAS Section Council (Univ of California, San Diego)</i>								
									<b>G3.2:</b> <i>Beth Smith, Chair-Elect, GMEAS Section Council (Penn State Health Hershey Medical Center)</i>								



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
	0.25							
	0.50	This session met the stated objectives.						
	0.75	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.						
	1.00	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.						
	1.25	The amount of information covered was sufficient.						
	1.50	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.						
		The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.						
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.						
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.						

Overall quality of speakers in this session?							Notes or Comments
Co-Moderators	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	
	<b>G4.1:</b> New User Perspective, <i>Beth Smith, Chair-Elect, GMEAS Section Council (Penn State Health Hershey Medical Center)</i>						
<b>G4.2:</b> Experienced User Perspective, <i>Amy Bourgeois (Univ of Vermont)</i>							
Q&A Discussion, <i>Terry Feist (Thalamus)</i>							

Code / Session	# of hours attended (not recorded)	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
	0.25							
	0.50	This session met the stated objectives.						
	0.75	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.						
	1.00	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.						
	1.25	The amount of information covered was sufficient.						
	1.50	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.						
		The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.						
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.						
		I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.						

Overall quality of speakers in this session?							Notes or Comments
Presentations / Speakers	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	
	<b>G5.1:</b> Asking for a "Promotion": Insight & Tips from Personal Experience, <i>Alexandra Murtha (Univ of California San Diego), Melissa Erb (Geisinger Commonwealth), Justavian Tillman, EdD (Washington Univ in St. Louis)</i>						
<b>G5.2:</b> How to Elevate Your Role as Program Coordinator, <i>Katie Horton (Univ of Maryland)</i>							



**ASSOCIATION FOR ACADEMIC PATHOLOGY**  
**AAPath 2024 Annual Meeting Program Syllabus CME Tracker**



Code / Session	# of hours attended or viewed	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments
			Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	
<b>S2: Poster Platform Presentations</b>	0.00								
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.							
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.							
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.							
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.							
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.							
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.							
		I had sufficient time to ask questions.							
	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.								

Presentations / Speakers	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	
<b>S2.APC24-01:</b> Implementing PathElective as an Organized Means of Supplementing Pathology Education in an Osteopathic Medical School, <i>Maria Plummer, MD (New York Institute of Technology)</i>							
<b>S2.APC24-03:</b> Encouraging Exploration of Pathology among High School, Undergraduate, and Medical Students, <i>Elisa Lin, MD (Univ of Texas Southwestern)</i>							
<b>S2.APC24-09:</b> New Resources in Autopsy Education: TheAutopsyBook.com, <i>Meagan Chambers, MD (Univ of Washington)</i>							
<b>S2.APC24-16:</b> Safety USHERSS: A Quality Improvement Initiative on Utilizing the Safety Huddle to Empower Residents as Safety Stewards, <i>Serene Mostafa, MD (Univ of South Florida)</i>							

Code / Session	# of hours attended	To what extent do you agree with the statements below for this session?	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments
			Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A	
<b>R: Posters</b>	0.00								
	0.25	This session met the stated objectives.							
	0.50	I am satisfied with the overall quality of the session.							
	0.75	The method of delivering this information was appropriate.							
	1.00	The amount of information covered was sufficient.							
	1.25	The session assisted in closing practice gaps.							
	1.50	The information presented in this session improved my knowledge, competence and/or performance.							
	1.75	I had sufficient time to ask questions.							
2.00	I will modify my practice based on the information presented in this session.								

Presentations / Speakers	Overall quality of speakers in this session?						Notes or Comments
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	
<b>APC24-01:</b> <i>Maria Plummer, MD</i>							
<b>APC24-02:</b> <i>Chuan Hao Chen, PhD</i>							
<b>APC24-03:</b> <i>Elisa Lin, MD, MS</i>							
<b>APC24-04:</b> <i>Mark Giffen, DO</i>							
<b>APC24-05:</b> <i>Payton Wolbert, B.S.</i>							
<b>APC24-06:</b> <i>Philip Boyer, MD, PhD</i>							
<b>APC24-07:</b> <i>Philip Boyer, MD, PhD</i>							
<b>APC24-08:</b> <i>Stevephen Hung, MD, PhD</i>							
<b>APC24-09:</b> <i>Meagan Chambers, MD, MS, MSc</i>							
<b>APC24-10:</b> <i>Ariel Velasquez-Evers, MD</i>							
<b>APC24-11:</b> <i>Cade Arries, MD</i>							
<b>APC24-12:</b> <i>Garrett Smith, MD, PhD</i>							
<b>APC24-13:</b> <i>Mai Elzieny, MBCh</i>							
<b>APC24-14:</b> <i>Mai Elzieny, MBCh</i>							
<b>APC24-15:</b> <i>Numbereye Numbere, MD</i>							
<b>APC24-16:</b> <i>Serene Mostafa, MD</i>							